Coeur Mining, Inc.
Exploration Drilling Update
August 11, 2020

Below are recent drill results from the ongoing expansion and infill programs at Silvertip, Kensington, Crown, Sterling and Palmarejo. Please see the accompanying press release dated August 11, 2020 available on www.coeur.com.

Silvertip, BC, CA – November 2019 through July 2020

	Mineralized Intercepts (feet)				Assays		
Hole	**From**	**To**	**Length**	**Estimated Thickness**	**Silver (oz/ton)**	**Lead (%)**	**Zinc (%)**
Camp Creek Fault Expansion							
CCF20-PAD02-001	709.3	713.1	3.8	2.6	24.1	16.4	12.8
CCF20-PAD03-001	516.4	521.0	4.6	3.9	16.2	5.8	28.2
CCF20-PAD03-002	No Significant Intercepts						
CCF20-PAD03-003	No Significant Intercepts						
CCF20-PAD03-004	No Significant Intercepts						
CCF20-PAD03-005	No Significant Intercepts						
Discovery Expansion							
DSC19-Pad1-002	No Significant Intercepts						
DSC19-Pad1-006	806.1	826.8	20.7	19.4	21.5	18.2	21.2
DSC19-Pad1-011	972.1	978.9	6.9	6.9	12.2	8.5	11.9
DSC19-Pad1-021	No Significant Intercepts						
DSC19-Pad1-022B	983.2	1000.1	16.8	15.8	6.7	2.3	11.4
DSC19-Pad1-023	1058.3	1063.6	5.3	3.9	7.9	6.1	11.8
DSC19-Pad4-005	1027.1	1049.7	22.6	19.7	8.1	3.9	12.4
DSC19-Pad4-011	No Significant Intercepts						
DSC19-Pad8-002	1090.4	1107.3	16.8	16.7	2.2	0.3	8.1
DSC19-Pad8-002	1145.0	1152.2	7.2	*	6.9	4.0	3.8
DSC19-Pad8-005	1202.7	1220.5	17.7	11.5	6.2	2.6	19.6
DSC19-Pad8-006	No Significant Intercepts						
DSC19-Pad8-007	1096.5	1103.9	7.5	3.6	12.5	6.7	11.1
DSC19-Pad8-008	No Significant Intercepts						
DSC20-PAD10-001	No Significant Intercepts						
DSC20-PAD11-001	828.2	834.6	6.4	4.9	8.5	4.2	8.3
DSC20-PAD11-001	1106.1	1120.6	14.4	13.5	15.9	11.4	10.1
DSC20-PAD11-002	No Significant Intercepts						
DSC20-PAD11-003	No Significant Intercepts						

Notes:

1. Reported interval length is not always representative of the true thickness of the mineralized zone.
2. * Indicates that Estimated Thickness could not be determined.
3. Differences in drill thickness may result from rounding values.
4. Only drill holes with assays that have completely passed Coeur's internal QA/QC protocol have been included in this table.
5. The potential quantity and grade for the deposits described herein are conceptual in nature. There is insufficient exploratory work to define a mineral resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in the applicable target being delineated as a mineral resource.

Hole	Mineralized Intercepts (feet)				Assays		
	From	To	Length	Estimated Thickness	Silver (oz/ton)	Lead (%)	Zinc (%)
Discovery Expansion							
DSC20-PAD11-004	No Significant Intercepts						
DSC20-PAD11-005	No Significant Intercepts						
DSC20-PAD11-006	769.0	782.8	13.8		20.4	12.1	11.4
DSC20-PAD11-006	879.7	886.7	7.0	6.6	18.5	10.3	8.1
DSC20-PAD11-006	1002.5	1020.5	18.0	7.9	5.9	0.7	18.6
DSC20-PAD12-001	1020.2	1030.6	10.4	*	14.0	8.8	7.7
DSC20-PAD20-001	No Significant Intercepts						
DSC20-PAD3-001	453.2	457.3	4.1	3.3	24.3	18.9	26.2
DSC20-PAD3-002	No Significant Intercepts						
DSC20-PAD3-003	No Significant Intercepts						
DSC20-PAD3-004	No Significant Intercepts						
DSC20-PAD32-001	835.5	842.6	7.1	*	6.9	5.4	8.2
DSC20-PAD32-002	No Significant Intercepts						
DSC20-PAD8-001	No Significant Intercepts						
DSC20-PAD8-002	1270.4	1281.2	10.8	10.2	6.1	2.9	11.1
DSC20-PAD8-003	No Significant Intercepts						
DSC20-PAD8-004	1347.9	1364.3	16.4	12.5	24.9	14.7	12.2
DSC20-PAD8-005	No Significant Intercepts						
Discovery Infill							
DSC19-Pad1-019	630.4	639.4	9.0	7.9	5.5	3.7	6.0
DSC19-Pad1-019	720.0	733.9	13.9	10.8	3.1	2.8	28.1

Silvertip Analytical Detail

1. Sample intervals varied from approximately 0.3 ft (0.1 m) to 4.9 ft (1.5 m) in length and 0.84 lbs (0.38 kg) to 19 lbs (9.0 kg) in weight. All samples were submitted to the Bureau Veritas preparation facility in Whitehorse, YK, CA, where they were dried and crushed. After crushing, samples were sent to Bureau Veritas in Vancouver, BC, CA where approximately 8.8 ozs (250 g) of primary sample was pulverized and analyzed by different analytical methods depending on the metal and its content.
2. All silver, zinc, and lead assays were initially obtained by an ultra-trace 0.01 oz/ton (0.25 g/tonne) 4-acid digestion with an ICP-ES finish. Samples over 7 oz/ton (200 g/tonne) silver were reanalyzed by an ore grade 0.04 oz (1.0 g) 4-acid digestion with an ICP-ES finish. Samples over 53 oz/ton (1500 g/tonne) silver were re-analyzed by a 1 oz (30 g) fire assay with gravimetric finish. Samples over 292 oz/ton (1%) zinc were re-analyzed by an ore grade, 0.04 oz (1 g) 4-acid digestion with ICP-ES finish. Samples over 11667 oz/ton (40%) zinc were re-analyzed by wet titration. Samples over 291 oz/ton (1%) lead were re-analyzed by an ore grade 0.04 oz (1g) 4-acid digestion with ICP-ES finish. Samples over 2917 oz/ton (10%) lead were re-analyzed by a wet titration.
3. The QA-QC program includes the blind insertion of certified and internally produced reference standards as well as both coarse and fine blank samples and duplicate samples (at the crushing, pulverizing and analytical stages). In total, control samples represent over 20% of all samples sent to the laboratory. Each quarter, between 5-10% of original pulps, representing the full analytical ranges are selected at random and sent to ALS laboratory in Vancouver for check analysis, using essentially identical methods. 10-15% control samples are also added to the original pulps. Results are compared against the original results to ensure acceptable levels of accuracy and precision.
4. Drill intercepts reported in this table have a minimum grade thickness of 35, with a minimum grade of 8.75 oz/ton (300 g/tonne) AgEq.

Notes:

1. Reported interval length is not always representative of the true thickness of the mineralized zone.
2. * Indicates that Estimated Thickness could not be determined.
3. Differences in drill thickness may result from rounding values.
4. Only drill holes with assays that have completely passed Coeur's internal QA/QC protocol have been included in this table.
5. The potential quantity and grade for the deposits described herein are conceptual in nature. There is insufficient exploratory work to define a mineral resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in the applicable target being delineated as a mineral resource.

Kensington, AK, USA – November 2019 through July 2020

Hole	Mineralized Intercepts (feet)				Assays
	From	To	Length	Estimated Thickness	Gold (oz/ton)
Bear Expansion					
BE19-0690-203-X01	140.5	141.5	1.0	0.7	2.54
BE19-0690-203-X02	525.0	529.4	4.4	4.3	0.92
BE19-0690-203-X02	626.0	627.3	1.3	1.3	1.32
BE20-0690-203-X01	No Significant Intercepts				
BE20-0690-203-X02	No Significant Intercepts				
BE20-0690-203-X03	No Significant Intercepts				
Cookhouse Expansion					
CH20-0840-184-X01	No Significant Intercepts				
CH20-0840-184-X02	No Significant Intercepts				
CH20-0840-184-X03	No Significant Intercepts				
Elmira Expansion					
EL20-0255-199-X01	No Significant Intercepts				
EL20-0255-199-X02	No Significant Intercepts				
EL20-0255-199-X03	No Significant Intercepts				
EL20-0255-199-X04	No Significant Intercepts				
EL20-0255-199-X05	No Significant Intercepts				
EL20-0255-199-X06	610.0	612.8	2.8	*	0.72
EL20-0255-199-X07	1206.2	1208	1.8	*	0.76
EL20-0255-199-X08	1249.3	1251.6	2.3	*	0.78
EL20-0255-199-X09	75.4	79.6	4.2	*	0.34
EL20-0255-199-X10	66.0	71.0	5.0	4.3	0.51
EL20-0255-199-X10	1405.8	1408.5	2.7	*	2.03
EL20-0255-199-X11	No Significant Intercepts				
Eureka Expansion					
EU19-1400-166-X01	No Significant Intercepts				
EU19-1400-166-X02	No Significant Intercepts				
EU19-1400-166-X03	211.8	214.5	2.7	*	0.76
EU19-1400-166-X04	153.2	154.3	1.1	*	1.34
EU19-1400-166-X05	654.0	666.2	12.2	*	0.19
EU19-1400-166-X06	86.5	87.5	1.0	*	1.48
EU19-1400-166-X07	336.0	340.5	4.5	*	1.25
EU19-1400-166-X08	No Significant Intercepts				
EU19-1400-166-X09	No Significant Intercepts				

Notes:

1. Reported interval length is not always representative of the true thickness of the mineralized zone.
2. * Indicates that Estimated Thickness could not be determined.
3. Differences in drill thickness may result from rounding values.
4. Only drill holes with assays that have completely passed Coeur's internal QA/QC protocol have been included in this table.
5. The potential quantity and grade for the deposits described herein are conceptual in nature. There is insufficient exploratory work to define a mineral resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in the applicable target being delineated as a mineral resource.

Hole	Mineralized Intercepts (feet)				Assays
	From	To	Length	Estimated Thickness	Gold (oz/ton)
Eureka Expansion					
EU19-1400-166-X10	390.0	398.3	8.3	4.2	1.65
EU19-1400-166-X11	No Significant Intercepts				
EU19-1400-166-X12	No Significant Intercepts				
EU19-1400-166-X13	No Significant Intercepts				
EU19-1400-166-X14	No Significant Intercepts				
EU19-1710-226-X02	592.2	593.7	1.5	*	7.69
EU19-1710-226-X04	No Significant Intercepts				
EU19-1935-273-X04	No Significant Intercepts				
EU19-2050-145-X01	No Significant Intercepts				
EU19-2050-145-X02	No Significant Intercepts				
EU19-2050-145-X03	No Significant Intercepts				
EU19-2050-145-X04	No Significant Intercepts				
EU19-2050-145-X05	No Significant Intercepts				
EU19-2050-145-X06	No Significant Intercepts				
EU19-2050-165-X02	183.0	221.8	38.8	*	0.46
EU19-2050-165-X02	228.5	233.5	5.0	1.3	3.96
EU19-2050-165-X03	No Significant Intercepts				
EU20-1400-136-X01	No Significant Intercepts				
EU20-1400-136-X03	No Significant Intercepts				
EU20-1400-136-X04	No Significant Intercepts				
EU20-1400-136-X05	No Significant Intercepts				
EU20-2050-196-X01	No Significant Intercepts				
EU20-2050-196-X02	180.7	190.5	9.8	9.2	0.19
EU20-2050-196-X03	217.4	230.7	13.3	*	0.13
EU20-2050-196-X04	243.0	256.8	13.8	*	0.26
EU20-2050-196-X05	No Significant Intercepts				
EU20-2050-196-X06	No Significant Intercepts				
EU20-2050-196-X07	261.3	275.5	14.2	11.6	0.27
EU20-2050-206-X01	No Significant Intercepts				
Jualin Expansion					
JU20-X001	No Significant Intercepts				
JU20-X002	No Significant Intercepts				
JU20-X003	No Significant Intercepts				
JU20-X004	No Significant Intercepts				

Notes:

1. Reported interval length is not always representative of the true thickness of the mineralized zone.
2. * Indicates that Estimated Thickness could not be determined.
3. Differences in drill thickness may result from rounding values.
4. Only drill holes with assays that have completely passed Coeur's internal QA/QC protocol have been included in this table.
5. The potential quantity and grade for the deposits described herein are conceptual in nature. There is insufficient exploratory work to define a mineral resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in the applicable target being delineated as a mineral resource.

Hole	Mineralized Intercepts (feet)				Assays
	From	To	Length	Estimated Thickness	Gold (oz/ton)
Jualin Expansion					
JU20-X008	No Significant Intercepts				
JU20-X005	387.4	388.4	1.0	0.9	1.84
JU20-X006	No Significant Intercepts				
JU20-X007	779.6	781.0	1.4	1.3	0.88
JU20-X009	No Significant Intercepts				
JU20-X010	No Significant Intercepts				
JU20-0160-329-X01	No Significant Intercepts				
JU20-0160-329-X02	No Significant Intercepts				
JU20-0160-329-X03	No Significant Intercepts				
JU20-0160-335-X01	No Significant Intercepts				
JU20-0160-335-X02	No Significant Intercepts				
JU20-0160-335-X03	No Significant Intercepts				
JU20-0160-335-X04	681.0	682.0	1.0	*	4.04
JU20-0160-335-X04	700.2	701.3	1.1	*	3.87
JU20-0160-335-X05	369.5	372.7	3.2	*	1.27
JU20-0160-335-X06	No Significant Intercepts				
JU20-0160-335-X07	No Significant Intercepts				
Kensington Expansion					
K19-0255-198-X01	No Significant Intercepts				
K19-0255-198-X02	No Significant Intercepts				
K19-0255-198-X03	No Significant Intercepts				
K20-0255-198-X01	219.1	223.0	3.9	3.7	0.25
K20-0255-198-X02	No Significant Intercepts				
K20-0255-198-X03	243.3	245.5	2.2	*	2.21
K20-1400-125-X01	432.0	450.5	18.5	9.2	0.40
K20-1400-125-X01	663.0	680.0	17.0	16.4	0.27
K20-1400-125-X01	720.3	724.0	3.7	3.6	0.47
K20-1400-125-X01	779.0	780.2	1.2	*	1.00
K20-1400-125-X01	792.0	794.0	2.0	*	0.44
K20-1400-125-X01	806.0	807.0	1.0	*	0.97
K20-1400-125-X02	108.4	109.4	1.0	0.8	1.16
K20-1400-125-X02	186.6	191.7	5.1	3.9	0.73
K20-1400-125-X02	331.0	338.5	7.5	6.0	0.21

Notes:

1. Reported interval length is not always representative of the true thickness of the mineralized zone.
2. * Indicates that Estimated Thickness could not be determined.
3. Differences in drill thickness may result from rounding values.
4. Only drill holes with assays that have completely passed Coeur's internal QA/QC protocol have been included in this table.
5. The potential quantity and grade for the deposits described herein are conceptual in nature. There is insufficient exploratory work to define a mineral resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in the applicable target being delineated as a mineral resource.

Hole	Mineralized Intercepts (feet)				Assays
	From	To	Length	Estimated Thickness	Gold (oz/ton)
Kensington Expansion					
K20-1400-125-X02	433.4	439.9	6.5	4.7	0.87
K20-1400-125-X02	452.0	455.2	3.2	*	0.30
K20-1400-125-X02	461.6	465.5	3.9	*	0.30
K20-1400-125-X02	487.3	489.7	2.4	*	0.38
K20-1400-125-X02	511.4	516.6	5.2	*	1.58
K20-1400-125-X02	761.8	763.2	1.4	1.4	2.01
K20-1400-125-X03	222.0	223.2	1.2	1.1	1.11
K20-1400-125-X03	446.2	453.3	7.1	6.4	0.23
K20-1400-125-X03	738.7	741.4	2.7	2.4	0.46

Kensington Analytical Detail

1. Drill intercepts of assays are from full and half HQ core samples prepared at the Kensington Mine, Juneau, AK, and at Bureau Veritas, Juneau, AK with final sample preparation to pulp and analyses at Bureau Veritas, Vancouver, BC. Sample lengths ranged from 0.5 ft (0.15 m) to 16.6 ft (5.06 m). Samples weights ranged from 0.27 lbs (0.13 kg) to 35 lbs (16 kg).
2. Samples were analyzed by 1 oz (30 g) fire assay with atomic absorption finish for gold with a >0.29 oz/ton (10 g/tonne) trigger for an additional fire assay with a gravimetric finish.
3. The QA-QC program includes the blind insertion of certified reference standards. Coarse and fine blank material is both certified and uncertified. Duplicate samples were collected at the sampling stage. In total, control samples represent approximately 20% of all samples sent to the commercial laboratory.
4. Drill intercepts reported in this table for Bear, Cookhouse, Elmira, and Eureka have a minimum grade thickness of 1.29 with a minimum grade of 0.129 oz/ton (4.42 g/tonne) Au. Kensington reports a minimum grade thickness of 0.858 with a minimum grade of 0.143 oz/ton (4.90 g/tonne) Au. Jualin reports a minimum grade thickness of 0.92 with a minimum grade of 0.23 oz/ton Au.

Notes:

1. Reported interval length is not always representative of the true thickness of the mineralized zone.
2. * Indicates that Estimated Thickness could not be determined.
3. Differences in drill thickness may result from rounding values.
4. Only drill holes with assays that have completely passed Coeur's internal QA/QC protocol have been included in this table.
5. The potential quantity and grade for the deposits described herein are conceptual in nature. There is insufficient exploratory work to define a mineral resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in the applicable target being delineated as a mineral resource.

Rochester, NV, USA –October 2018 through July 2020

Hole	Mineralized Intercepts (feet)				Assays	
	From	To	Length	Estimated Thickness	Gold (oz/ton)	Silver (oz/ton)
Rochester Expansion						
ROCR18-1064	No Significant Intercepts					
ROCR18-1065	No Significant Intercepts					
ROCR18-1066	No Significant Intercepts					
ROCR18-1067	No Significant Intercepts					
ROCC20-1001	985.0	1109.6	124.6	*	0.004	1.1
ROCC20-1001	593.5	767.6	174.1	*	0.001	0.6
ROCC20-1001	337.9	400.0	62.1	*	0.004	1.3
ROCC20-1002A	680.7	902.4	221.7	*	0.004	0.8
ROCC20-1002A	586.0	649.3	63.3	*	0.006	0.5
ROCC20-1003	1117.0	1162.0	45.0	*	0.019	0.9
ROCC20-1003	0.0	100.0	100.0	*	0.003	0.6
ROCC20-1004	543.4	955.0	411.6	*	0.009	1.0
ROCC20-1004	1092.3	1255.6	163.3	*	0.006	0.7
ROCC20-1004	0.0	160.0	160.0	*	0.002	0.5
ROCC20-1007	1530.0	1586.6	56.6	*	0.005	1.1
ROCC20-1007	1359.8	1485.8	126.0	*	0.001	0.6
ROCC20-1007	1069.8	1098.6	28.8	*	0.004	1.8
ROCC20-1008	1173.0	1438.5	265.5	*	0.001	1.0
Rochester Infill						
ROCC19-1023	752.0	1594.8	842.8	*	0.009	1.3
ROCC19-1023	231.3	326.4	95.1	*	0.006	0.4
ROCC19-1023	363.6	400.0	36.4	*	0.006	1.1
ROCC19-1024	1242.3	1453.7	211.4	*	0.008	1.2
ROCC19-1024	636.2	725.0	88.8	*	0.006	0.6
ROCC19-1024	809.6	945	135.4	*	0.003	0.3
ROCC19-1024	5.0	81.3	76.3	*	0.002	0.6
ROCC19-1024	1490.7	1583.3	92.6	*	0.001	0.6
ROCC19-1025	465.0	540.0	75.0	*	0.006	1.1
ROCC19-1025	246.0	405.0	159.0	102.2	0.001	0.7
ROCC19-1025	140.0	243.0	103.0	51.5	0.006	0.7
ROCC19-1026	320.0	485.0	165.0	*	0.003	0.4
ROCC19-1026	130.3	275.9	145.6	103	0.002	0.6
ROCC19-1027	524.0	633.0	109.0	*	0.002	1.0

Notes:

1. Reported interval length is not always representative of the true thickness of the mineralized zone.
2. * Indicates that Estimated Thickness could not be determined.
3. Differences in drill thickness may result from rounding values.
4. Only drill holes with assays that have completely passed Coeur's internal QA/QC protocol have been included in this table.
5. The potential quantity and grade for the deposits described herein are conceptual in nature. There is insufficient exploratory work to define a mineral resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in the applicable target being delineated as a mineral resource.

Hole	Mineralized Intercepts (feet)				Assays	
	From	To	Length	Estimated Thickness	Gold (oz/ton)	Silver (oz/ton)
Rochester Infill						
ROCC19-1028	76.4	115.0	38.6	*	0.009	0.8
ROCR18-1050	No Significant Intercepts					
ROCR18-1055	No Significant Intercepts					
ROCR18-1068	No Significant Intercepts					
ROCR18-1069	360.0	480.0	120.0	*	0.008	0.7
ROCR18-1070	270.0	380.0	110.0	*	0.005	0.3
ROCR18-1070	480.0	550.0	70.0	*	0.006	0.4
ROCR18-1071	No Significant Intercepts					
ROCC19-1022	No Significant Intercepts					
ROCR20-1001	No Significant Intercepts					
ROCR20-1002	No Significant Intercepts					
ROCR20-1004	No Significant Intercepts					
ROCR20-1005	0.0	330.0	330.0	*	0.004	1.0
ROCR20-1006	100.0	155.0	55.0	*	0.008	0.5
ROCR20-1009	85.0	300.0	215.0	*	0.004	0.7
ROCR20-1011	245.0	320.0	75.0	*	0.002	1.1
ROCR20-1011	5.0	95.0	90.0	*	0.001	0.7
ROCR20-1013	30.0	135.0	105.0	*	0.001	0.6
POA 11 Condemnation						
ROCR18-1059	No Significant Intercepts					
ROCR18-1060	No Significant Intercepts					
ROCR18-1061	No Significant Intercepts					
ROCR18-1062	No Significant Intercepts					
ROCR18-1063	No Significant Intercepts					

Rochester Analytical Data

1. Reverse circulation samples were taken on 10ft (3.1m) intervals in 2018 and 5ft (1.5m) intervals in 2020 and weighed 0.75 lbs (0.34kg) to 56 lbs (25.5kg). Core Samples were taken on intervals ranging from 0.4 ft (0.12m) to 21.5 ft (6.6m) and weighed .6 lbs (0.27kg) to 56 lbs (25.3kg). All samples were submitted to the McClelland Laboratory preparation facility in Sparks, NV, where they were dried, crushed and pulverized. After preparation, primary samples were analyzed at McClelland Laboratory.
2. All gold and silver assays were initially obtained by standard 1 oz (30g) fire assay with an AA finish. Samples over 0.234 oz/ton (7.28 g/tonne) Au were re-analyzed by standard 1 oz (30g) fire assay with gravimetric finish. All silver samples that returned an AA assay greater than 2.34 oz/ton (80.2 g/tonne) Ag were assayed by standard 1 oz (30g) fire assay with gravimetric finish.
3. The QA-QC program includes the blind insertion of certified and internally produced reference standards as well as both coarse and fine blank samples and duplicate samples (at the crushing, pulverizing and analytical stages). In total, control samples represent over 20% of all samples sent to the laboratory. Each quarter, between 5-10% of original pulps, representing the full analytical ranges are selected at random and sent to the Bureau Veritas Laboratory in Vancouver, CA for check analysis, using essentially identical methods. 10-15% control samples are also added to the original pulps. Results are compared against the original results to ensure acceptable levels of accuracy and precision.
4. Drill intercepts reported in this table have a minimum grade thickness of 50, with a minimum grade of 0.5 oz/ton (17 g/tonne) AgEq.

Notes:

1. Reported interval length is not always representative of the true thickness of the mineralized zone.
2. * Indicates that Estimated Thickness could not be determined.
3. Differences in drill thickness may result from rounding values.
4. Only drill holes with assays that have completely passed Coeur's internal QA/QC protocol have been included in this table.
5. The potential quantity and grade for the deposits described herein are conceptual in nature. There is insufficient exploratory work to define a mineral resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in the applicable target being delineated as a mineral resource.

Crown Block, NV, USA –November 2019 through July 2020

Hole	Mineralized Intercepts (feet)				Assays
	From	To	Length	Estimated Thickness	Gold (oz/ton)
C-Horst Expansion					
CH20-001	No Significant Intercepts				
CH20-002	630.0	865.0	235.0	*	0.05
CH20-002	880.0	930.0	50.0	*	0.01
CH20-003	No Significant Intercepts				
CH20-004	1215.0	1270.0	55.0	*	0.05
CH20-005	No Significant Intercepts				
CH20-006	815.0	960.0	145.0	*	0.02
CH20-007	540.0	860.0	320.0	*	0.04
CH20-007	960.0	1010.0	50.0	*	0.01
CH20-007	1085.0	1140.0	55.0	*	0.02
CH20-008	535.0	800.0	265.0	*	0.03
CH20-009	No Significant Intercepts				
CH20-010	695.0	755.0	60.0	*	0.02
CH20-010	770.0	810.0	40.0	*	0.02
CH20-010	845.0	940.0	95.0	*	0.02
Secret Pass Expansion					
SP19-001	No Significant Intercepts				
SP19-002	No Significant Intercepts				
SP19-003	No Significant Intercepts				
SP20-005	765.0	835.0	70.0	*	0.02
SP20-006	575.0	645.0	70.0	*	0.02
SP20-006	695.0	755.0	60.0	*	0.01
SP20-006	780.0	845.0	65.0	*	0.02
SP20-007	255.0	280.0	25.0	*	0.02
SP20-007	535.0	725.0	190.0	*	0.02
SP20-008	530.0	575.0	45.0	*	0.02
SP20-008	590.0	620.0	30.0	*	0.01
SP20-008	680.0	710.0	30.0	*	0.01
SP20-008	730.0	780.0	50.0	*	0.02
SP20-009	No Significant Intercepts				
SP20-010	No Significant Intercepts				
SP20-011	No Significant Intercepts				
SP20-013	No Significant Intercepts				

Notes:

1. Reported interval length is not always representative of the true thickness of the mineralized zone.
2. * Indicates that Estimated Thickness could not be determined.
3. Differences in drill thickness may result from rounding values.
4. Only drill holes with assays that have completely passed Coeur's internal QA/QC protocol have been included in this table.
5. The potential quantity and grade for the deposits described herein are conceptual in nature. There is insufficient exploratory work to define a mineral resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in the applicable target being delineated as a mineral resource.

Hole	Mineralized Intercepts (feet)				Assays
	From	To	Length	Estimated Thickness	Gold (oz/ton)
Secret Pass Expansion					
SP20-014	No Significant Intercepts				
SP20-015	655.0	710.0	55.0	*	0.04
SP20-016	No Significant Intercepts				
SP20-017	No Significant Intercepts				
SP20-018	310.0	335.0	25.0	*	0.03
SP20-019	No Significant Intercepts				
SP20-020	No Significant Intercepts				
SP20-021	No Significant Intercepts				
SP20-022	No Significant Intercepts				
SP20-023	No Significant Intercepts				
SP20-024	No Significant Intercepts				
SNA Expansion					
SNA18-026	215.0	240.0	25.0	*	0.03
SNA18-026	390.0	425.0	35.0	*	0.02
SNA19-016	415.0	480.0	65.0	*	0.01
SNA19-018	No Significant Intercepts				
SNA19-028	305.0	375.0	70.0	*	0.02
SNA19-029	No Significant Intercepts				
SNA19-030	No Significant Intercepts				
SNA19-031	85.0	105.0	20.0	*	0.06
SNA19-032	230.0	310.0	80.0	*	0.05
SNA19-032	325.0	350.0	25.0	*	0.02
SNA19-033	305.0	330.0	25.0	*	0.02
SNA19-033	345.0	400.0	55.0	*	0.02
SNA19-034	350.0	365.0	15.0	*	0.13
SNA19-035	225.0	255.0	30.0	*	0.02
SNA19-035	305.0	365.0	60.0	*	0.02
SNA19-036	No Significant Intercepts				
SNA19-037	80.0	95.0	15.0	*	0.04
SNA19-037	305.0	325.0	20.0	*	0.08
SNA19-038	60.0	135.0	75.0	*	0.02
SNA19-038	480.0	500.0	20.0	*	0.02
SNA19-039	No Significant Intercepts				
SNA19-040	No Significant Intercepts				

Notes:

1. Reported interval length is not always representative of the true thickness of the mineralized zone.
2. * Indicates that Estimated Thickness could not be determined.
3. Differences in drill thickness may result from rounding values.
4. Only drill holes with assays that have completely passed Coeur's internal QA/QC protocol have been included in this table.
5. The potential quantity and grade for the deposits described herein are conceptual in nature. There is insufficient exploratory work to define a mineral resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in the applicable target being delineated as a mineral resource.

Hole	Mineralized Intercepts (feet)				Assays
	From	To	Length	Estimated Thickness	Gold (oz/ton)
SNA Expansion					
SNA19-041	No Significant Intercepts				
SNA19-042	35.0	60.0	25.0	*	0.03
SNA19-043	160.0	200.0	40.0	*	0.04
SNA19-044	30.0	50.0	20.0	*	0.06
SNA19-044	105.0	135.0	30.0	*	0.03
SNA19-045	No Significant Inter.0cepts				
SNA19-046	No Significant Intercepts				
SNA19-047	No Significant Intercepts				
SNA19-048	No Significant Intercepts				
SNA19-049	No Significant Intercepts				

Crown Block Analytical Detail

1. Reverse circulation samples were taken on 5-foot (2m) intervals for all samples. Samples weighed 0.24 lbs (0.11 kg) to 62 lbs (28 kg). All samples were submitted to Bureau Veritas in Sparks, NV for sample preparation. Samples were dried, crushed, and pulverized. Pulps were sent to Bureau Veritas in Vancouver, BC, CA for analysis.
2. All gold assays were initially obtained by standard 1 oz (30 g) fire assay with an ICP finish. Samples with gold values greater than 0.0009 oz/ton (0.03 g/tonne) gold were additionally assayed by a cold cyanide shake with an atomic absorption finish. Samples with gold values greater than 0.297 oz/ton (10 g/tonne) were assayed by 1 oz (30g) fire assay with gravimetric finish.
3. The QA-QC program includes the blind insertion of certified reference standards. Coarse and fine blank material is both certified and uncertified. Duplicate samples were collected at the sampling stage. In total, control samples represent approximately 20% of all samples sent to the commercial laboratory.
4. Drill intercepts reported in this table have a minimum grade thickness of 0.4, with a minimum grade of 0.01 oz/ton (0.34 g/tonne) gold by fire assay.

Notes:

1. Reported interval length is not always representative of the true thickness of the mineralized zone.
2. * Indicates that Estimated Thickness could not be determined.
3. Differences in drill thickness may result from rounding values.
4. Only drill holes with assays that have completely passed Coeur's internal QA/QC protocol have been included in this table.
5. The potential quantity and grade for the deposits described herein are conceptual in nature. There is insufficient exploratory work to define a mineral resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in the applicable target being delineated as a mineral resource.

Sterling, NV, USA – November 2019 through July 2020

Hole	Mineralized Intercepts (feet)				Assays
	From	To	Length	Estimated Thickness	Gold (oz/ton)
Sterling Expansion					
LPC18-15	No Significant Intercepts				
LPC18-16	520.0	550.0	30.0	*	0.01
LPC18-16	630.0	665.0	35.0	*	0.02
STR18-015	No Significant Intercepts				
STR18-020	235.0	275.0	40.0	*	0.05
STR20-001	420.0	435.0	15.0	*	0.06
STR20-001	1020.0	1105.0	85.0	*	0.02
STR20-001	1190.0	1240.0	50.0	*	0.02
STR20-004	295.0	350.0	55.0	*	0.01
STR20-008	No Significant Intercepts				
STR20-011	10.0	30.0	20.0	*	0.05
STR20-015	No Significant Intercepts				
STR20-016	No Significant Intercepts				
STR20-018	585.0	965.0	380.0	*	0.09
STR20-020	No Significant Intercepts				
STR20-021	No Significant Intercepts				
STR20-022	105.0	160.0	55.0	*	0.01
STR20-023	No Significant Intercepts				
STR20-032	660.0	910.0	250.0	*	0.04
STR20-032	940.0	985.0	45.0	*	0.02
STR20-033	545.0	590.0	45.0	*	0.01
STR20-033	905.0	960.0	55.0	*	0.01
Sterling Infill					
STR19-072	No Significant Intercepts				
STR19-079	No Significant Intercepts				
STR19-080	480.0	505.0	25.0	*	0.03
STR19-081	No Significant Intercepts				
STR19-082	365.0	410.0	45.0	*	0.01
STR19-082	490.0	610.0	120.0	*	0.02
STR19-082	770.0	820.0	50.0	*	0.10
STR19-083	No Significant Intercepts				
STR19-084	795.0	825.0	30.0	*	0.02
STR19-084	935.0	1095.0	160.0	*	0.03

Notes:

1. Reported interval length is not always representative of the true thickness of the mineralized zone.
2. * Indicates that Estimated Thickness could not be determined.
3. Differences in drill thickness may result from rounding values.
4. Only drill holes with assays that have completely passed Coeur's internal QA/QC protocol have been included in this table.
5. The potential quantity and grade for the deposits described herein are conceptual in nature. There is insufficient exploratory work to define a mineral resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in the applicable target being delineated as a mineral resource.

Hole	Mineralized Intercepts (feet)				Assays
	From	To	Length	Estimated Thickness	Gold (oz/ton)
Sterling Infill					
STR19-085	1140.0	1200.0	60.0	*	0.01
STR19-086	865.0	1010.0	145.0	*	0.04
STR19-087	375.0	385.0	10.0	*	0.07
STR19-088	1150.0	1245.0	95.0	*	0.04
STR19-089	195.0	210.0	15.0	*	0.04
STR19-089	1155.0	1175.0	20.0	*	0.03
STR20-003	20.0	45.0	25.0	*	0.02
STR20-005	No Significant Intercepts				
STR20-006	No Significant Intercepts				
STR20-007	No Significant Intercepts				
STR20-009	No Significant Intercepts				
STR20-010	No Significant Intercepts				
STR20-012	0.0	35.0	35.0	*	0.09
STR20-014	No Significant Intercepts				
STR20-017	800.0	880.0	80.0	*	0.01
STR20-019	665.0	680.0	15.0	*	0.03
STR20-019	915.0	940.0	25.0	*	0.02
STR20-024	No Significant Intercepts				
STR20-025	No Significant Intercepts				
STR20-026	No Significant Intercepts				
STR20-027	No Significant Intercepts				
STR20-028	No Significant Intercepts				
STR20-029	No Significant Intercepts				
STR20-030	No Significant Intercepts				
STR20-031	No Significant Intercepts				

Sterling Analytical Detail

1. Reverse circulation samples were taken on 5-foot (2m) intervals for all samples. Samples weighed 0.24 lbs (0.11 kg) to 62 lbs (28 kg). All samples were submitted to Bureau Veritas in Sparks, NV for sample preparation. Samples were dried, crushed, and pulverized. Pulps were sent to Bureau Veritas in Vancouver, BC, CA for analysis.
2. All gold assays were initially obtained by standard 1 oz (30 g) fire assay with an ICP finish. Samples with gold values greater than 0.0009 oz/ton (0.03 g/tonne) gold were additionally assayed by a cold cyanide shake with an atomic absorption finish. Samples with gold values greater than 0.297 oz/ton (10 g/tonne) were assayed by 1 oz (30g) fire assay with gravimetric finish.
3. The QA-QC program includes the blind insertion of certified reference standards. Coarse and fine blank material is both certified and uncertified. Duplicate samples were collected at the sampling stage. In total, control samples represent approximately 20% of all samples sent to the commercial laboratory.
4. Drill intercepts reported in this table have a minimum grade thickness of 0.4, with a minimum grade of 0.01 oz/ton (0.34 g/tonne) gold by fire assay.

Notes:

1. Reported interval length is not always representative of the true thickness of the mineralized zone.
2. * Indicates that Estimated Thickness could not be determined.
3. Differences in drill thickness may result from rounding values.
4. Only drill holes with assays that have completely passed Coeur's internal QA/QC protocol have been included in this table.
5. The potential quantity and grade for the deposits described herein are conceptual in nature. There is insufficient exploratory work to define a mineral resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in the applicable target being delineated as a mineral resource.

Palmarejo, CHH, MX - November 2019 through July 2020

Hole	Mineralized Intercepts (feet)				Assays	
	From	To	Length	Estimated Thickness	Gold (oz/ton)	Silver (oz/ton)
Guadalupe Mine Complex Expansion						
LPDH_202	No Significant Intercepts					
LPDH_203	No Significant Intercepts					
LPDH_204	No Significant Intercepts					
LPDH_205	No Significant Intercepts					
LPDH_206	521.7	532.8	11.2	5.9	0.21	3.4
LPDH_206	876.3	877.9	1.6		0.88	1.0
LPDH_207	No Significant Intercepts					
LPDH_208	No Significant Intercepts					
ZPDH_105	990.8	1013.1	22.3	18.0	0.06	2.7
ZPDH_105	1298.0	1301.2	3.2	1.6	0.97	7.2
ZPDH_105	883.3	892.2	8.9	7.6	0.01	11.6
ZPDH_106	No Significant Intercepts					
ZPDH_107	1223.6	1235.6	12.0	5.9	0.06	5.7
ZPDH_107	1261.5	1267.0	5.6	4.9	0.40	4.1
ZPDH_107	1654.1	1659.0	4.9	3.6	0.19	17.0
ZPDH_108	1217.2	1225.4	8.2	5.3	0.33	2.2
ZPDH_108	1289.4	1305.4	16.1	10.2	0.10	4.2
ZPDH_109	1185.0	1191.1	6.1	4.6	0.16	5.2
ZPDH_109	1449.3	1468.3	19.0	16.4	0.05	0.5
ZPDH_109	1481.3	1495.9	14.6	11.2	0.04	3.5
ZPDH_110	No Significant Intercepts					
Guadalupe Mine Complex Infill						
LBDH_230	No Significant Intercepts					
LPDH_209	740.1	748.0	8.0	6.2	0.66	5.3
LPDH_210	748.5	757.9	9.4	6.6	0.16	2.5
LPDH_211	No Significant Intercepts					
LPDH_212	690.5	705.7	15.2	14.4	0.17	1.1
LPDH_212	729.8	731.5	1.6	1.3	0.86	8.3
LPDH_213	712.4	716.9	4.4	3.3	0.49	5.3
TGDH_769	435.9	449.0	13.1	9.5	0.04	6.2
TGDH_771	No Significant Intercepts					
TGDH_772	No Significant Intercepts					
TGDH_773	No Significant Intercepts					

Notes:

1. Reported interval length is not always representative of the true thickness of the mineralized zone.
2. * Indicates that Estimated Thickness could not be determined.
3. Differences in drill thickness may result from rounding values.
4. Only drill holes with assays that have completely passed Coeur's internal QA/QC protocol have been included in this table.
5. The potential quantity and grade for the deposits described herein are conceptual in nature. There is insufficient exploratory work to define a mineral resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in the applicable target being delineated as a mineral resource.

Hole	Mineralized Intercepts (feet)				Assays	
	From	To	Length	Estimated Thickness	Gold (oz/ton)	Silver (oz/ton)
Guadalupe Mine Complex Infill						
TGDH_774	No Significant Intercepts					
TGDH_775	No Significant Intercepts					
TGDH_776	No Significant Intercepts					
TGDH_777	No Significant Intercepts					
TGDH_778	No Significant Intercepts					
TGDH_779	477.7	493.0	15.3	15.1	0.05	3.8
TGDH_780	468.3	480.6	12.3	12.1	0.12	9.3
TGDH_781	No Significant Intercepts					
TGDH_782	No Significant Intercepts					
TGDH_783	No Significant Intercepts					
TGDH_784	No Significant Intercepts					
TGDH_785	No Significant Intercepts					
TGDH_786	498.7	504.6	5.9	4.9	0.16	1.5
TGDH_787	1107.8	1118.2	10.4	8.5	0.07	3.5
TGDH_788	No Significant Intercepts					
TGDH_789	1013.8	1040.7	26.9	17.4	0.05	4.1
TGDH_790	No Significant Intercepts					
TGDH_791	No Significant Intercepts					
TGDH_792	No Significant Intercepts					
TGDH_793	No Significant Intercepts					
TGDH_794	No Significant Intercepts					
TGDH_795	No Significant Intercepts					
Independencia Mine Complex Expansion						
BVDH_045	1553.3	1574.1	20.8	11.8	0.04	8.7
BVDH_046	No Significant Intercepts					
BVDH_047	1477.0	1506.4	29.4	24.9	0.04	7.2
BVDH_050	1590.0	1620.1	30.1	24.3	0.02	5.5
BVDH_051	1638.6	1652.9	14.3	12.5	0.02	4.8
BVDH_054	No Significant Intercepts					
VIDH_126	No Significant Intercepts					
VIDH_127	No Significant Intercepts					
VIDH_129	928.6	932.7	4.1	3.9	0.09	13.4
VIDH_129	1978.5	2024.1	45.6	25.3	0.02	3.2
VIDH_129	2039.9	2053.1	13.2	8.2	0.06	19.6
VIDH_132	1437.7	1459.5	21.9	19.0	0.04	6.5

Notes:

1. Reported interval length is not always representative of the true thickness of the mineralized zone.
2. * Indicates that Estimated Thickness could not be determined.
3. Differences in drill thickness may result from rounding values.
4. Only drill holes with assays that have completely passed Coeur's internal QA/QC protocol have been included in this table.
5. The potential quantity and grade for the deposits described herein are conceptual in nature. There is insufficient exploratory work to define a mineral resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in the applicable target being delineated as a mineral resource.

Hole	Mineralized Intercepts (feet)				Assays	
	From	To	Length	Estimated Thickness	Gold (oz/ton)	Silver (oz/ton)
Independencia Mine Complex Expansion						
VIDH_132	1549.9	1554.9	5.1	4.6	0.08	13.4
VIDH_132	1561.8	1585.4	23.6	19.7	0.14	11.3
VIDH_136	1043.9	1060.0	16.1	11.8	0.02	8.2
VIDH_136	1772.0	1796.0	24.0	20.7	0.05	2.9
VIDH_136	1824.1	1853.4	29.3	21.7	0.06	8.5
VIDH_136	1854.7	1877.3	22.6	17.4	0.12	12.8
VIDH_136	1887.6	1895.7	8.1	7.9	0.08	6.0
VIDH_136	2070.3	2072.3	2.0	2.0	0.14	18.6
VIDH_139	1747.6	1767.1	19.6	17.7	0.04	2.7
VIDH_139	1814.1	1822.8	8.7	6.9	0.11	6.8
VIDH_139	1954.9	1958.6	3.7	3.3	0.14	11.1
VIDH_140	1780.2	1835.3	55.1	32.2	0.10	8.5
VIDH_140	1851.2	1874.7	23.5	17.4	0.16	6.9
VIDH_140	1938.3	1944.5	6.2	5.3	0.62	1.0
VIDH_141	1484.1	1501.7	17.6	15.1	0.02	2.4
VIDH_142	1164.9	1189.1	24.3	18.0	0.04	2.7
VIDH_142	1200.8	1220.5	19.7	15.1	0.03	2.5
VIDH_143	1616.8	1631.3	14.5	6.9	0.04	7.3
VIDH_143	1784.1	1796.2	12.1	7.9	0.21	6.2
VIDH_144	1882.5	1917.0	34.5	16.1	0.27	9.9
VIDH_145	1774.5	1789.2	14.7	6.9	0.05	7.4
VIDH_145	1798.2	1808.0	9.8	4.3	0.30	11.8
Independencia Mine Complex Infill						
BVDH_048	1488.8	1504.6	15.8	10.2	0.05	9.8
BVDH_049	1576.9	1591.9	15.0	12.5	0.19	24.5
BVDH_049	1761.5	1770.8	9.4	4.6	0.84	62.5
BVDH_052	No Significant Intercepts					
BVDH_053	1519.8	1529.7	9.8	5.9	0.04	6.4
DC3-ICA-0219	No Significant Intercepts					
DC3-ICA-0220	No Significant Intercepts					
DC3-ICA-0221	383.9	415.7	31.8	22.3	0.02	5.1
DC3-ICA-0221	461.1	481.5	20.4	11.2	0.03	7.6
DC3-ICA-0222	425.5	493.5	68.0	58.7	0.05	7.2
DC3-ICA-0224	No Significant Intercepts					
DC3-ICA-0225	229.0	246.1	17.1	14.8	0.06	4.5

Notes:

1. Reported interval length is not always representative of the true thickness of the mineralized zone.
2. * Indicates that Estimated Thickness could not be determined.
3. Differences in drill thickness may result from rounding values.
4. Only drill holes with assays that have completely passed Coeur's internal QA/QC protocol have been included in this table.
5. The potential quantity and grade for the deposits described herein are conceptual in nature. There is insufficient exploratory work to define a mineral resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in the applicable target being delineated as a mineral resource.

Hole	Mineralized Intercepts (feet)				Assays	
	From	To	Length	Estimated Thickness	Gold (oz/ton)	Silver (oz/ton)
Independencia Mine Complex Infill						
DC3-ICA-0227	299.0	311.2	12.1	9.2	0.03	7.8
DC3-ICA-0228	344.5	357.6	13.1	11.5	0.08	2.3
DC3-ICA-0228	439.6	476.0	36.5	23.0	0.05	7.8
DC3-ICA-0229	227.0	240.8	13.8	10.5	0.04	3.9
DC3-ICA-0230	No Significant Intercepts					
DC3-ICA-0231	No Significant Intercepts					
DC3-ICA-0232	No Significant Intercepts					
DC3-ICA-0233	212.6	242.5	29.9	21.3	0.04	6.3
DC3-ICA-0234	296.6	335.4	38.8	34.5	0.02	6.6
DC3-ICA-0235	236.6	248.5	11.9	9.5	0.03	5.1
DC3-ICA-0236	206.0	223.3	17.3	13.5	0.03	4.2
DC3-ICA-0237	No Significant Intercepts					
DC3-ICA-0238	No Significant Intercepts					
DC3-ICA-0239	No Significant Intercepts					
DC3-ICA-0240	250.0	288.0	38.0	30.2	0.01	4.3
DC3-ICA-0241	No Significant Intercepts					
VIDH_130	No Significant Intercepts					
VIDH_131	1586.6	1599.4	12.8	8.2	0.04	5.3
VIDH_133	1496.0	1507.1	11.1	5.3	0.22	13.1
VIDH_134	No Significant Intercepts					
VIDH_135	1732.3	1737.2	4.9	3.6	0.14	10.6
VIDH_138	No Significant Intercepts					
Palmarejo Mine Complex Expansion						
PMDH_669	No Significant Intercepts					
PMDH_670	No Significant Intercepts					

Palmarejo Analytical Data

1. Samples intervals varied from approximately 1.6ft (0.5m) to 6.6ft (2.0m) in length and 1.5 lbs (0.69 kg) to 23 lbs (10 kg) in weight. All samples were submitted to either the ALS preparation facility in Chihuahua or Guadalajara, where they were dried, crushed and pulverized. After preparation, approximately 8.80 ozs (250g) of primary samples were sent to the ALS laboratory in Vancouver for analysis by multiple methods depending on the metal and its content.
2. All gold assays were initially obtained by standard 1 oz (30g) fire assay with an ICP-AES finish. Samples over 0.233 oz/ton (7.99 g/tonne) Au were re-analyzed by standard 1 oz (30g) fire assay with gravimetric finish. All silver analyses reported were initially obtained by four acid digestion of the samples followed by ICP analysis. All silver samples that returned an ICP-AES assay greater than 2.33 oz/ton (80 g/tonne) Ag were assayed by a standard ore grade four acid digestion with an ICP finish.
3. The QA-QC program includes the blind insertion of certified and internally produced reference standards as well as both coarse and fine blank samples and duplicate samples (at the crushing, pulverizing and analytical stages). In total, control samples represent over 20% of all samples sent to the laboratory. Each quarter, between 5-10% of original pulps, representing the full analytical ranges are selected at random and sent to the Bureau Veritas laboratory in Vancouver for check analysis, using essentially identical methods. 10-15% control samples are also added to the original pulps. Results are compared against the original results to ensure acceptable levels of accuracy and precision.
4. Drill intercepts reported in this table have a minimum grade thickness of 9, with a minimum grade of 0.051 oz/ton (1.75 g/tonne) AuEq.

Notes:

1. Reported interval length is not always representative of the true thickness of the mineralized zone.
2. * Indicates that Estimated Thickness could not be determined.
3. Differences in drill thickness may result from rounding values.
4. Only drill holes with assays that have completely passed Coeur's internal QA/QC protocol have been included in this table.
5. The potential quantity and grade for the deposits described herein are conceptual in nature. There is insufficient exploratory work to define a mineral resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in the applicable target being delineated as a mineral resource.